Absolute purity in motivic homotopy theory

Fangzhou Jin joint work with F. Déglise, J. Fasel and A. Khan

October 8, 2020

Fangzhou Jin joint work with F. Déglise, J. Fasel and A. Khan Absolute purity in motivic homotopy theory

Table of contents



Grothendieck's absolute purity conjecture

- 2 Motivic homotopy theory
- 3 The fundamental class



The absolute purity conjecture

Grothendieck's **absolute (cohomological) purity conjecture** (SGA5, Exposé I 3.1.4) is the following statement: if $i : Z \to X$ is a closed immersion between noetherian regular schemes of pure codimension $c, n \in \mathcal{O}(X)^*$ and $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$, then the étale cohomology sheaf supported in Z with values in Λ can be computed as

$$\mathcal{H}^q_Z(X_{\mathrm{\acute{e}t}}, \Lambda) = egin{cases} i_*\Lambda_Z(-c) & ext{if } q = 2c \ 0 & ext{else} \end{cases}$$

The absolute purity conjecture

Grothendieck's **absolute (cohomological) purity conjecture** (SGA5, Exposé I 3.1.4) is the following statement: if $i : Z \to X$ is a closed immersion between noetherian regular schemes of pure codimension $c, n \in \mathcal{O}(X)^*$ and $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$, then the étale cohomology sheaf supported in Z with values in Λ can be computed as

$$\mathcal{H}^q_Z(X_{\mathrm{\acute{e}t}}, \Lambda) = egin{cases} i_*\Lambda_Z(-c) & ext{if } q = 2c \ 0 & ext{else} \end{cases}$$

In other words, $i^! \Lambda_X = \Lambda_Z(-c)[-2c]$.

The absolute purity conjecture

Grothendieck's **absolute (cohomological) purity conjecture** (SGA5, Exposé I 3.1.4) is the following statement: if $i : Z \to X$ is a closed immersion between noetherian regular schemes of pure codimension $c, n \in \mathcal{O}(X)^*$ and $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$, then the étale cohomology sheaf supported in Z with values in Λ can be computed as

$$\mathcal{H}^q_Z(X_{\mathrm{\acute{e}t}}, \Lambda) = egin{cases} i_*\Lambda_Z(-c) & ext{if } q = 2c \ 0 & ext{else} \end{cases}$$

In other words, $i^! \Lambda_X = \Lambda_Z(-c)[-2c]$. This conjecture has been solved by Gabber.

A short history of the proof

• SGA4: case where both X and Z are smooth over a field

A short history of the proof

- SGA4: case where both X and Z are smooth over a field
- Popescu: equal characteristic case

A short history of the proof

- SGA4: case where both X and Z are smooth over a field
- Popescu: equal characteristic case
- Gabber(1976): case where dim $X \leq 2$

A short history of the proof

- SGA4: case where both X and Z are smooth over a field
- Popescu: equal characteristic case
- Gabber(1976): case where dim $X \leq 2$
- Thomason(1984): case where all prime divisors of n are greater or equal to dim X + 2

A short history of the proof

- SGA4: case where both X and Z are smooth over a field
- Popescu: equal characteristic case
- Gabber(1976): case where dim $X \leq 2$
- Thomason(1984): case where all prime divisors of n are greater or equal to dim X + 2

Uses Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence of étale K-theory

A short history of the proof

- SGA4: case where both X and Z are smooth over a field
- Popescu: equal characteristic case
- Gabber(1976): case where dim $X \leq 2$
- Thomason(1984): case where all prime divisors of n are greater or equal to dim X + 2

Uses Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence of étale K-theory

• Gabber(1986): general case (written by Fujiwara)

A short history of the proof

- SGA4: case where both X and Z are smooth over a field
- Popescu: equal characteristic case
- Gabber(1976): case where dim $X \leq 2$
- Thomason(1984): case where all prime divisors of n are greater or equal to dim X + 2

Uses Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence of étale K-theory

Gabber(1986): general case (written by Fujiwara)
 Based on Thomason's method + rigidity for algebraic
 K-theory

Importance of the absolute purity conjecture

Importance of the absolute purity conjecture

The absolute purity property, together with resolution of singularities, is frequently used in cohomological studies of schemes:

• Show that the six functors on the derived category of étale sheaves preserve constructible objects.

Importance of the absolute purity conjecture

- Show that the six functors on the derived category of étale sheaves preserve constructible objects.
- Prove the Grothendieck-Verdier local duality:

Importance of the absolute purity conjecture

- Show that the six functors on the derived category of étale sheaves preserve constructible objects.
- Prove the Grothendieck-Verdier local duality:
 S a regular scheme, n ∈ O(S)* and Λ = ℤ/nℤ, f : X → S a separated morphism of finite type, then f[!]Λ_S is a dualizing object, i.e. D_{X/S} := R<u>Hom</u>(·, f[!]Λ_S) satisfies D ∘ D = Id.

Importance of the absolute purity conjecture

- Show that the six functors on the derived category of étale sheaves preserve constructible objects.
- Prove the Grothendieck-Verdier local duality:
 S a regular scheme, n ∈ O(S)* and Λ = ℤ/nℤ, f : X → S a separated morphism of finite type, then f[!]Λ_S is a dualizing object, i.e. D_{X/S} := R<u>Hom</u>(·, f[!]Λ_S) satisfies D ∘ D = Id.
- Construct Gysin morphisms and establish intersection theory.

Importance of the absolute purity conjecture

- Show that the six functors on the derived category of étale sheaves preserve constructible objects.
- Prove the Grothendieck-Verdier local duality:
 S a regular scheme, n ∈ O(S)* and Λ = ℤ/nℤ, f : X → S a separated morphism of finite type, then f[!]Λ_S is a dualizing object, i.e. D_{X/S} := R<u>Hom</u>(·, f[!]Λ_S) satisfies D ∘ D = Id.
- Construct Gysin morphisms and establish intersection theory.
- Study the coniveau spectral sequence.

. . .

Importance of the absolute purity conjecture

 The study of these problems has lead to a great number of new methods: Deligne, Verdier, Bloch-Ogus, Gabber, Fulton,

Importance of the absolute purity conjecture

- The study of these problems has lead to a great number of new methods: Deligne, Verdier, Bloch-Ogus, Gabber, Fulton,
- Our work: study absolute purity in the framework of motivic homotopy theory.

Importance of the absolute purity conjecture

- The study of these problems has lead to a great number of new methods: Deligne, Verdier, Bloch-Ogus, Gabber, Fulton, ...
- Our work: study absolute purity in the framework of motivic homotopy theory.
- Main result: the absolute purity in motivic homotopy theory is satisfied with rational coefficients in mixed characteristic.

Motivic homotopy theory

 The motivic homotopy theory or A¹-homotopy theory is introduced by Morel and Voevodsky (1998) as a framework to study cohomology theories in algebraic geometry, by importing tools from algebraic topology

Motivic homotopy theory

- The motivic homotopy theory or A¹-homotopy theory is introduced by Morel and Voevodsky (1998) as a framework to study cohomology theories in algebraic geometry, by importing tools from algebraic topology
- Idea: use the affine line A¹ as a substitute of the unit interval to get an algebraic version of the homotopy theory

Motivic homotopy theory

- The motivic homotopy theory or A¹-homotopy theory is introduced by Morel and Voevodsky (1998) as a framework to study cohomology theories in algebraic geometry, by importing tools from algebraic topology
- Idea: use the affine line A¹ as a substitute of the unit interval to get an algebraic version of the homotopy theory
- Can be used to study cohomology theories such as algebraic *K*-theory, Chow groups (motivic cohomology) and many others

Motivic homotopy theory

- The motivic homotopy theory or A¹-homotopy theory is introduced by Morel and Voevodsky (1998) as a framework to study cohomology theories in algebraic geometry, by importing tools from algebraic topology
- Idea: use the affine line A¹ as a substitute of the unit interval to get an algebraic version of the homotopy theory
- Can be used to study cohomology theories such as algebraic *K*-theory, Chow groups (motivic cohomology) and many others
- Advantage: has many a lot of structures coming from both topological and algebraic geometrical sides

Aspects of applications in various domains

• Part of Voevodsky's proof of the Bloch-Kato conjecture uses the classification of cohomological operations that can be studied by means of motivic homotopy theory

- Part of Voevodsky's proof of the Bloch-Kato conjecture uses the classification of cohomological operations that can be studied by means of motivic homotopy theory
- *K*-theory and hermitian *K*-theory (Riou, Cisinski, Panin-Walter, Hornbostel, Schlichting-Tripathi)

- Part of Voevodsky's proof of the Bloch-Kato conjecture uses the classification of cohomological operations that can be studied by means of motivic homotopy theory
- *K*-theory and hermitian *K*-theory (Riou, Cisinski, Panin-Walter, Hornbostel, Schlichting-Tripathi)
- Euler classes and splitting vector bundles (Murthy, Barge-Morel, Asok-Fasel)

- Part of Voevodsky's proof of the Bloch-Kato conjecture uses the classification of cohomological operations that can be studied by means of motivic homotopy theory
- *K*-theory and hermitian *K*-theory (Riou, Cisinski, Panin-Walter, Hornbostel, Schlichting-Tripathi)
- Euler classes and splitting vector bundles (Murthy, Barge-Morel, Asok-Fasel)
- Computations of homotopy groups of spheres (Isaksen, Wang, Xu)

- Part of Voevodsky's proof of the Bloch-Kato conjecture uses the classification of cohomological operations that can be studied by means of motivic homotopy theory
- *K*-theory and hermitian *K*-theory (Riou, Cisinski, Panin-Walter, Hornbostel, Schlichting-Tripathi)
- Euler classes and splitting vector bundles (Murthy, Barge-Morel, Asok-Fasel)
- Computations of homotopy groups of spheres (Isaksen, Wang, Xu)
- \mathbb{A}^1 -enumerative geometry (Hoyois, Levine, Kass-Wickelgren)

- Part of Voevodsky's proof of the Bloch-Kato conjecture uses the classification of cohomological operations that can be studied by means of motivic homotopy theory
- *K*-theory and hermitian *K*-theory (Riou, Cisinski, Panin-Walter, Hornbostel, Schlichting-Tripathi)
- Euler classes and splitting vector bundles (Murthy, Barge-Morel, Asok-Fasel)
- Computations of homotopy groups of spheres (Isaksen, Wang, Xu)
- $\bullet \ \mathbb{A}^1\text{-enumerative geometry}$ (Hoyois, Levine, Kass-Wickelgren)
- Non-commutative geometry and singularity categories (Tabuada, Blanc-Robalo-Toën-Vezzosi)

Some topological background

A spectrum E is a sequence (E_n)_{n∈N} of pointed spaces (e.g. CW-complexes or simplicial sets) together with continuous maps σ_n : S¹ ∧ E_n → E_{n+1} called suspension maps

Some topological background

- A spectrum E is a sequence (E_n)_{n∈N} of pointed spaces (e.g. CW-complexes or simplicial sets) together with continuous maps σ_n : S¹ ∧ E_n → E_{n+1} called suspension maps
- A morphism of spectra is a sequence of continuous maps on each degree which commutes with suspension maps

Some topological background

- A spectrum E is a sequence (E_n)_{n∈N} of pointed spaces (e.g. CW-complexes or simplicial sets) together with continuous maps σ_n : S¹ ∧ E_n → E_{n+1} called suspension maps
- A morphism of spectra is a sequence of continuous maps on each degree which commutes with suspension maps
- Stable homotopy groups:

$$\pi_n(E) = \operatorname{colim}_i \pi_{n+i}(E_i)$$

Some topological background

- A spectrum E is a sequence (E_n)_{n∈N} of pointed spaces (e.g. CW-complexes or simplicial sets) together with continuous maps σ_n : S¹ ∧ E_n → E_{n+1} called suspension maps
- A morphism of spectra is a sequence of continuous maps on each degree which commutes with suspension maps
- Stable homotopy groups:

$$\pi_n(E) = \operatorname{colim}_i \pi_{n+i}(E_i)$$

 The theory stems from the Freudenthal suspension theorem: if *E_i* = X ∧ Sⁱ for some X ∈ Top (i.e. E is the suspension spectrum of X), then the sequence i → π_{n+i}(E_i) stabilizes

Some topological background

- A spectrum E is a sequence (E_n)_{n∈N} of pointed spaces (e.g. CW-complexes or simplicial sets) together with continuous maps σ_n : S¹ ∧ E_n → E_{n+1} called suspension maps
- A morphism of spectra is a sequence of continuous maps on each degree which commutes with suspension maps
- Stable homotopy groups:

$$\pi_n(E) = \operatorname{colim}_i \pi_{n+i}(E_i)$$

- The theory stems from the Freudenthal suspension theorem: if *E_i* = X ∧ Sⁱ for some X ∈ Top (i.e. E is the suspension spectrum of X), then the sequence i → π_{n+i}(E_i) stabilizes
- A morphism of spectra is a **stable weak equivalence** if it induces isomorphisms on stable homotopy groups
Stable homotopy category

• The (topological) stable homotopy category **SH**_{top} is defined from spectra by inverting stable weak equivalences

Stable homotopy category

- The (topological) stable homotopy category **SH**_{top} is defined from spectra by inverting stable weak equivalences
- SH_{top} is a triangulated category, with shift given by S^1 -suspension

Stable homotopy category

- The (topological) stable homotopy category **SH**_{top} is defined from spectra by inverting stable weak equivalences
- SH_{top} is a triangulated category, with shift given by S^1 -suspension
- Every object represents a cohomology theory

 $\mathbb{E}^n(X) = [X, \mathbb{E} \wedge S^n]_{\mathsf{SH}_{top}}$

Stable homotopy category

- The (topological) stable homotopy category **SH**_{top} is defined from spectra by inverting stable weak equivalences
- SH_{top} is a triangulated category, with shift given by S^1 -suspension
- Every object represents a cohomology theory

$$\mathbb{E}^n(X) = [X, \mathbb{E} \wedge S^n]_{\mathsf{SH}_{top}}$$

 Examples: Suspension spectra Σ[∞]X for X ∈ Top_•, in particular sphere spectrum S ; HA Eilenberg-Mac Lane spectrum for a ring A; MU complex cobordism spectrum

Stable homotopy category

- The (topological) stable homotopy category **SH**_{top} is defined from spectra by inverting stable weak equivalences
- SH_{top} is a triangulated category, with shift given by S^1 -suspension
- Every object represents a cohomology theory

$$\mathbb{E}^n(X) = [X, \mathbb{E} \wedge S^n]_{\mathsf{SH}_{top}}$$

- Examples: Suspension spectra Σ[∞]X for X ∈ Top_●, in particular sphere spectrum S ; HA Eilenberg-Mac Lane spectrum for a ring A; MU complex cobordism spectrum
- From an ∞-categorical point of view, the category of spectra is the stabilization of the category of spaces, and is the universal stable (triangulated) category

The unstable motivic homotopy category

• For any scheme *S*, a **motivic space** is a presheaf of simplicial sets over the category of smooth *S*-schemes *Sm_S*

- For any scheme *S*, a **motivic space** is a presheaf of simplicial sets over the category of smooth *S*-schemes *Sm_S*
- The (pointed) unstable motivic homotopy category H(S) (H_•(S)) is obtained from (pointed) motivic spaces by localizing with respect to the Nisnevich topology and projections of the form Y × A¹ → Y

- For any scheme *S*, a **motivic space** is a presheaf of simplicial sets over the category of smooth *S*-schemes *Sm_S*
- The (pointed) unstable motivic homotopy category H(S) (H_•(S)) is obtained from (pointed) motivic spaces by localizing with respect to the Nisnevich topology and projections of the form Y × A¹ → Y
- Bigraded A¹-homotopy sheaves: for X ∈ H_•(S), π^{A¹}_{a,b}(X) is the Nisnevich sheaf on Sm_S associated to the presheaf

$$U \mapsto [U \wedge S^{a-b} \wedge \mathbb{G}_m^b, X]_{\mathbf{H}_{\bullet}(S)}$$

The stable motivic homotopy category

For any scheme S, a motivic spectrum or P¹-spectrum is a sequence E = (E_n)_{n≥0} of pointed motivic spaces together with morphisms σ_n : P¹ ∧ E_n → E_{n+1}

- For any scheme S, a motivic spectrum or P¹-spectrum is a sequence E = (E_n)_{n≥0} of pointed motivic spaces together with morphisms σ_n : P¹ ∧ E_n → E_{n+1}
- A morphism of motivic spectra is a stable motivic weak equivalence if it induces isomorphisms on A¹-homotopy sheaves
- The stable motivic homotopy category SH(S) is defined from P¹-spectra by inverting stable motivic weak equivalences

- For any scheme S, a motivic spectrum or P¹-spectrum is a sequence E = (E_n)_{n≥0} of pointed motivic spaces together with morphisms σ_n : P¹ ∧ E_n → E_{n+1}
- A morphism of motivic spectra is a stable motivic weak equivalence if it induces isomorphisms on A¹-homotopy sheaves
- The stable motivic homotopy category SH(S) is defined from P¹-spectra by inverting stable motivic weak equivalences
- Two spheres: $\mathbb{P}^1\sim_{\mathbb{A}^1}S^1\wedge\mathbb{G}_m$

- For any scheme S, a motivic spectrum or P¹-spectrum is a sequence E = (E_n)_{n≥0} of pointed motivic spaces together with morphisms σ_n : P¹ ∧ E_n → E_{n+1}
- A morphism of motivic spectra is a stable motivic weak equivalence if it induces isomorphisms on A¹-homotopy sheaves
- The stable motivic homotopy category SH(S) is defined from P¹-spectra by inverting stable motivic weak equivalences
- Two spheres: $\mathbb{P}^1\sim_{\mathbb{A}^1}S^1\wedge\mathbb{G}_m$
- **SH**(S) is triangulated by S¹-suspension

- For any scheme S, a motivic spectrum or P¹-spectrum is a sequence E = (E_n)_{n≥0} of pointed motivic spaces together with morphisms σ_n : P¹ ∧ E_n → E_{n+1}
- A morphism of motivic spectra is a stable motivic weak equivalence if it induces isomorphisms on A¹-homotopy sheaves
- The stable motivic homotopy category SH(S) is defined from P¹-spectra by inverting stable motivic weak equivalences
- Two spheres: $\mathbb{P}^1\sim_{\mathbb{A}^1}S^1\wedge\mathbb{G}_m$
- SH(S) is triangulated by S^1 -suspension
- In the classical notation, $S^1 = \mathbb{1}[1]$ and $\mathbb{G}_m = \mathbb{1}(1)[1]$

- For any scheme S, a motivic spectrum or P¹-spectrum is a sequence E = (E_n)_{n≥0} of pointed motivic spaces together with morphisms σ_n : P¹ ∧ E_n → E_{n+1}
- A morphism of motivic spectra is a stable motivic weak equivalence if it induces isomorphisms on A¹-homotopy sheaves
- The stable motivic homotopy category SH(S) is defined from P¹-spectra by inverting stable motivic weak equivalences
- Two spheres: $\mathbb{P}^1\sim_{\mathbb{A}^1}S^1\wedge\mathbb{G}_m$
- SH(S) is triangulated by S^1 -suspension
- In the classical notation, $S^1 = \mathbb{1}[1]$ and $\mathbb{G}_m = \mathbb{1}(1)[1]$
- SH(S) is the universal stable ∞-category which satisfies Nisnevich descent and A¹-invariance (Robalo, Drew-Gallauer)

Motivic spectra

Motivic spectra

Every object in $\mathbf{SH}(S)$ represents a bigraded cohomology theory $\mathbb{E}^{p,q}(U) = [U, (S^1)^{\wedge (p-q)} \wedge (\mathbb{G}_m)^{\wedge q} \wedge \mathbb{E}]_{\mathbf{SH}(S)}$

• Motivic Eilenberg-Mac Lane spectrum **H**Z, represents motivic cohomology (extend Chow groups for smooth schemes)

Motivic spectra

- Motivic Eilenberg-Mac Lane spectrum **H**Z, represents motivic cohomology (extend Chow groups for smooth schemes)
- Algebraic K-theory spectrum KGL, represents homotopy K-theory (Voevodsky, Riou)

Motivic spectra

- Motivic Eilenberg-Mac Lane spectrum **H**Z, represents motivic cohomology (extend Chow groups for smooth schemes)
- Algebraic K-theory spectrum KGL, represents homotopy K-theory (Voevodsky, Riou)
- Algebraic cobordism spectrum **MGL**, represents algebraic cobordism (Levine-Morel)

Motivic spectra

- Motivic Eilenberg-Mac Lane spectrum **H**Z, represents motivic cohomology (extend Chow groups for smooth schemes)
- Algebraic K-theory spectrum **KGL**, represents homotopy K-theory (Voevodsky, Riou)
- Algebraic cobordism spectrum **MGL**, represents algebraic cobordism (Levine-Morel)
- Hermitian *K*-theory spectrum **KQ** represents higher Grothendieck-Witt groups (Schlichting, Panin-Walter, Hornbostel)

Motivic spectra

Every object in $\mathbf{SH}(S)$ represents a bigraded cohomology theory $\mathbb{E}^{p,q}(U) = [U, (S^1)^{\wedge (p-q)} \wedge (\mathbb{G}_m)^{\wedge q} \wedge \mathbb{E}]_{\mathbf{SH}(S)}$

- Motivic Eilenberg-Mac Lane spectrum **H**Z, represents motivic cohomology (extend Chow groups for smooth schemes)
- Algebraic K-theory spectrum **KGL**, represents homotopy K-theory (Voevodsky, Riou)
- Algebraic cobordism spectrum **MGL**, represents algebraic cobordism (Levine-Morel)
- Hermitian *K*-theory spectrum **KQ** represents higher Grothendieck-Witt groups (Schlichting, Panin-Walter, Hornbostel)
- Milnor-Witt spectrum H_{MW}ℤ represents Milnor-Witt motivic cohomology/higher Chow-Witt groups (Déglise-Fasel)

Fangzhou Jin joint work with F. Déglise, J. Fasel and A. Khan Absolute purity in motivic homotopy theory

The sphere spectrum

The sphere spectrum 1_S = Σ[∞]_{P1}S₊ is the unit object for the monoidal structure on SH(S) defined by ⊗ = ∧

- The sphere spectrum $\mathbb{1}_{S} = \Sigma_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}^{\infty}S_{+}$ is the unit object for the monoidal structure on $\mathbf{SH}(S)$ defined by $\otimes = \wedge$
- Its stable homotopy groups/sheaves are hard to compute, and are related to the open problem of computing stable homotopy groups of spheres in topology

- The sphere spectrum 1_S = Σ[∞]_{P1}S₊ is the unit object for the monoidal structure on SH(S) defined by ⊗ = ∧
- Its stable homotopy groups/sheaves are hard to compute, and are related to the open problem of computing stable homotopy groups of spheres in topology
- Morel's theorem: k field, then $\pi_{n,n}(\mathbb{1}_k) \simeq K_n^{MW}$ is the Milnor-Witt K-theory sheaf

- The sphere spectrum 1_S = Σ[∞]_{P1}S₊ is the unit object for the monoidal structure on SH(S) defined by ⊗ = ∧
- Its stable homotopy groups/sheaves are hard to compute, and are related to the open problem of computing stable homotopy groups of spheres in topology
- Morel's theorem: k field, then π_{n,n}(1_k) ≃ K^{MW}_n is the Milnor-Witt K-theory sheaf
- In particular, End(1_k)_{SH(k)} ~ GW(k) is the Grothendieck-Witt groups of symmetric bilinear forms over k

- The sphere spectrum 1_S = Σ[∞]_{P1}S₊ is the unit object for the monoidal structure on SH(S) defined by ⊗ = ∧
- Its stable homotopy groups/sheaves are hard to compute, and are related to the open problem of computing stable homotopy groups of spheres in topology
- Morel's theorem: k field, then $\pi_{n,n}(\mathbb{1}_k) \simeq K_n^{MW}$ is the Milnor-Witt K-theory sheaf
- In particular, End(1_k)_{SH(k)} ~ GW(k) is the Grothendieck-Witt groups of symmetric bilinear forms over k
- This leads to the theory of \mathbb{A}^1 -enumerative geometry

- The sphere spectrum $\mathbb{1}_{S} = \Sigma_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}^{\infty}S_{+}$ is the unit object for the monoidal structure on $\mathbf{SH}(S)$ defined by $\otimes = \wedge$
- Its stable homotopy groups/sheaves are hard to compute, and are related to the open problem of computing stable homotopy groups of spheres in topology
- Morel's theorem: k field, then $\pi_{n,n}(\mathbb{1}_k) \simeq K_n^{MW}$ is the Milnor-Witt K-theory sheaf
- In particular, End(1_k)_{SH(k)} ~ GW(k) is the Grothendieck-Witt groups of symmetric bilinear forms over k
- This leads to the theory of A¹-enumerative geometry
- The 1-line is also computed (Röndigs-Spitzweck-Østvaer):

$$0 \rightarrow K^{M}_{2-n}/24 \rightarrow \pi_{n+1,n}(\mathbb{1}_{k}) \rightarrow \pi_{n+1,n}f_{0}(\mathsf{KQ})$$

The six functors formalism

• Originates from Grothendieck's theory for *I*-adic sheaves (SGA4), and worked out in the motivic setting by Ayoub and Cisinski-Déglise

The six functors formalism

- Originates from Grothendieck's theory for *l*-adic sheaves (SGA4), and worked out in the motivic setting by Ayoub and Cisinski-Déglise
- For any morphism of schemes *f* : *X* → *Y*, there is a pair of adjoint functors

 $f^*: \operatorname{SH}(Y) \rightleftharpoons \operatorname{SH}(X): f_*$

The six functors formalism

- Originates from Grothendieck's theory for *l*-adic sheaves (SGA4), and worked out in the motivic setting by Ayoub and Cisinski-Déglise
- For any morphism of schemes *f* : *X* → *Y*, there is a pair of adjoint functors

$$f^*: \operatorname{SH}(Y) \rightleftharpoons \operatorname{SH}(X): f_*$$

For any separated morphism of finite type $f: X \to Y$, there is an additional pair of adjoint functors

$$f_!: \mathbf{SH}(X) \rightleftharpoons \mathbf{SH}(Y): f^!$$

The six functors formalism

- Originates from Grothendieck's theory for *l*-adic sheaves (SGA4), and worked out in the motivic setting by Ayoub and Cisinski-Déglise
- For any morphism of schemes *f* : *X* → *Y*, there is a pair of adjoint functors

$$f^*: \operatorname{SH}(Y) \rightleftharpoons \operatorname{SH}(X): f_*$$

For any separated morphism of finite type $f: X \to Y$, there is an additional pair of adjoint functors

$$f_{!}: \mathbf{SH}(X) \rightleftharpoons \mathbf{SH}(Y): f^{!}$$

There is also a pair $(\otimes, \underline{Hom})$ of adjoint functors inducing a closed symmetric monoidal structure on **SH**

The six functors formalism

- Originates from Grothendieck's theory for *I*-adic sheaves (SGA4), and worked out in the motivic setting by Ayoub and Cisinski-Déglise
- For any morphism of schemes *f* : *X* → *Y*, there is a pair of adjoint functors

$$f^*: \operatorname{SH}(Y) \rightleftharpoons \operatorname{SH}(X): f_*$$

For any separated morphism of finite type $f: X \to Y$, there is an additional pair of adjoint functors

$$f_!: \mathbf{SH}(X) \rightleftharpoons \mathbf{SH}(Y) : f^!$$

There is also a pair $(\otimes, \underline{Hom})$ of adjoint functors inducing a closed symmetric monoidal structure on **SH**

• They satisfy formal properties axiomatizing important theorems such as duality, base change and localization.

Thom spaces and relative purity

• If $V \to X$ is a vector bundle, then the **Thom space** $Th_X(V) \in \mathbf{H}_{\bullet}(X)$ is the pointed motivic space V/V - 0

Thom spaces and relative purity

- If $V \to X$ is a vector bundle, then the **Thom space** $Th_X(V) \in \mathbf{H}_{\bullet}(X)$ is the pointed motivic space V/V - 0
- This construction passes through P¹-stabilization and defines a ⊗-invertible object in SH(X), and the map V → Th(V) extends to a map K₀(X) → SH(X)

Thom spaces and relative purity

- If $V \to X$ is a vector bundle, then the **Thom space** $Th_X(V) \in \mathbf{H}_{\bullet}(X)$ is the pointed motivic space V/V - 0
- This construction passes through \mathbb{P}^1 -stabilization and defines a \otimes -invertible object in $\mathbf{SH}(X)$, and the map $V \mapsto Th(V)$ extends to a map $\mathcal{K}_0(X) \to \mathbf{SH}(X)$
- Relative purity (Ayoub): $f : X \to Y$ smooth morphism with tangent bundle T_f , then $f^! \simeq Th(T_f) \otimes f^*$

Thom spaces and relative purity

- If $V \to X$ is a vector bundle, then the **Thom space** $Th_X(V) \in \mathbf{H}_{\bullet}(X)$ is the pointed motivic space V/V - 0
- This construction passes through \mathbb{P}^1 -stabilization and defines a \otimes -invertible object in $\mathbf{SH}(X)$, and the map $V \mapsto Th(V)$ extends to a map $\mathcal{K}_0(X) \to \mathbf{SH}(X)$
- Relative purity (Ayoub): $f : X \to Y$ smooth morphism with tangent bundle T_f , then $f^! \simeq Th(T_f) \otimes f^*$
- In the presence of an *orientation*, we recover the usual relative purity

Orientations

An absolute motivic spectrum is the data of E_X ∈ SH(X) for every scheme X, together with natural isomorphisms f*E_X ≃ E_Y for every morphism f : Y → X
- An absolute motivic spectrum is the data of E_X ∈ SH(X) for every scheme X, together with natural isomorphisms f*E_X ≃ E_Y for every morphism f : Y → X
- Examples: 1, HZ, KGL, MGL, KQ, H_{MW}Z

- An absolute motivic spectrum is the data of E_X ∈ SH(X) for every scheme X, together with natural isomorphisms f*E_X ≃ E_Y for every morphism f : Y → X
- Examples: 1, HZ, KGL, MGL, KQ, H_{MW}Z
- An orientation of \mathbb{E} is the data of isomorphisms $E_X \otimes Th_X(V) \simeq E_X(r)[2r]$ for all vector bundles $V \to X$ of rank r, which is compatible with pullbacks and products

- An absolute motivic spectrum is the data of E_X ∈ SH(X) for every scheme X, together with natural isomorphisms f*E_X ≃ E_Y for every morphism f : Y → X
- Examples: 1, HZ, KGL, MGL, KQ, H_{MW}Z
- An orientation of \mathbb{E} is the data of isomorphisms $E_X \otimes Th_X(V) \simeq E_X(r)[2r]$ for all vector bundles $V \to X$ of rank r, which is compatible with pullbacks and products
- This is equivalent to the existence of Chern classes in the sense of oriented cohomology theories

- An absolute motivic spectrum is the data of E_X ∈ SH(X) for every scheme X, together with natural isomorphisms f*E_X ≃ E_Y for every morphism f : Y → X
- Examples: 1, HZ, KGL, MGL, KQ, H_{MW}Z
- An orientation of \mathbb{E} is the data of isomorphisms $E_X \otimes Th_X(V) \simeq E_X(r)[2r]$ for all vector bundles $V \to X$ of rank r, which is compatible with pullbacks and products
- This is equivalent to the existence of Chern classes in the sense of oriented cohomology theories
- Examples: **H**Z, **KGL**, **MGL**, or the spectrum representing étale cohomology

- An absolute motivic spectrum is the data of E_X ∈ SH(X) for every scheme X, together with natural isomorphisms f*E_X ≃ E_Y for every morphism f : Y → X
- Examples: 1, HZ, KGL, MGL, KQ, H_{MW}Z
- An orientation of \mathbb{E} is the data of isomorphisms $E_X \otimes Th_X(V) \simeq E_X(r)[2r]$ for all vector bundles $V \to X$ of rank r, which is compatible with pullbacks and products
- This is equivalent to the existence of Chern classes in the sense of oriented cohomology theories
- Examples: HZ, KGL, MGL, or the spectrum representing étale cohomology
- Non-examples: 1, KQ, $H_{MW}\mathbb{Z}$

Orientations and fundamental classes

 The algebraic cobordism spectrum MGL is the universal oriented absolute spectrum

Orientations and fundamental classes

- The algebraic cobordism spectrum **MGL** is the universal oriented absolute spectrum
- With an orientation, we have an associated formal group law, as well as many extra properties such as projective bundle formula or double point formula (Levine-Pandharipande)

Orientations and fundamental classes

- The algebraic cobordism spectrum **MGL** is the universal oriented absolute spectrum
- With an orientation, we have an associated formal group law, as well as many extra properties such as projective bundle formula or double point formula (Levine-Pandharipande)
- A theory of *fundamental classes* aims at establishing a cohomological intersection theory

Orientations and fundamental classes

- The algebraic cobordism spectrum **MGL** is the universal oriented absolute spectrum
- With an orientation, we have an associated formal group law, as well as many extra properties such as projective bundle formula or double point formula (Levine-Pandharipande)
- A theory of *fundamental classes* aims at establishing a cohomological intersection theory
- For oriented spectra, Déglise defined fundamental classes using Chern classes

Bivariant groups

For f : X → S be a separated morphism of finite type,
 v ∈ K₀(X) and E ∈ SH(S), define the E-bivariant groups (or Borel-Moore E-homology) as

$$\mathbb{E}_n(X/S, v) = [f_! Th(v)[n], \mathbb{E}]_{\mathsf{SH}(S)}$$

Bivariant groups

For f : X → S be a separated morphism of finite type,
 v ∈ K₀(X) and E ∈ SH(S), define the E-bivariant groups (or Borel-Moore E-homology) as

$$\mathbb{E}_n(X/S, v) = [f_! Th(v)[n], \mathbb{E}]_{\mathbf{SH}(S)}$$

• If S is a field and $\mathbb{E} = \mathbf{H}\mathbb{Z}$, then $\mathbb{E}_i(X/S, v) = CH_r(X, i)$ are the higher Chow groups, where r is the virtual rank of v

Bivariant groups

For f : X → S be a separated morphism of finite type,
 v ∈ K₀(X) and E ∈ SH(S), define the E-bivariant groups (or Borel-Moore E-homology) as

$$\mathbb{E}_n(X/S, v) = [f_! Th(v)[n], \mathbb{E}]_{\mathsf{SH}(S)}$$

- If S is a field and $\mathbb{E} = \mathbf{H}\mathbb{Z}$, then $\mathbb{E}_i(X/S, v) = CH_r(X, i)$ are the higher Chow groups, where r is the virtual rank of v
- Its intersection theory is motivated by the intersection theory on Chow groups

Functoriality of bivariant groups

• Base change:



Functoriality of bivariant groups

• Base change:



• Proper push-forward: $f : X \rightarrow Y$ proper

 $f_*: \mathbb{E}_n(X/S, f^*v) \to \mathbb{E}_n(Y/S, v)$

Functoriality of bivariant groups

• Base change:



• Proper push-forward: $f : X \rightarrow Y$ proper

$$f_*: \mathbb{E}_n(X/S, f^*v) \to \mathbb{E}_n(Y/S, v)$$

• Product: if \mathbb{E} has a ring structure, $X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} S$

$$\mathbb{E}_m(X/Y,w)\otimes\mathbb{E}_n(Y/S,v)\to\mathbb{E}_{m+n}(X/S,w+f^*v)$$

The fundamental class (Déglise-J.-Khan)

 We say that a morphism of schemes f : X → Y is local complete intersection (lci) if it factors as a regular closed immersion followed by a smooth morphism

The fundamental class (Déglise-J.-Khan)

- We say that a morphism of schemes f : X → Y is local complete intersection (lci) if it factors as a regular closed immersion followed by a smooth morphism
- To such a morphism is associated a virtual tangent bundle τ_f ∈ K₀(X), which agrees with the class of the cotangent complex of f

The fundamental class (Déglise-J.-Khan)

- We say that a morphism of schemes f : X → Y is local complete intersection (lci) if it factors as a regular closed immersion followed by a smooth morphism
- To such a morphism is associated a virtual tangent bundle τ_f ∈ K₀(X), which agrees with the class of the cotangent complex of f
- 3 equivalent formulations:
 - purity transformation $f^* \otimes \text{Th}(\tau_f) \to f^!$
 - fundamental class $\eta_f \in \mathbb{E}_0(X/Y, \tau_f)$
 - Gysin morphisms $\mathbb{E}_n(Y/S, v) \to \mathbb{E}_n(X/S, \tau_f + f^*v)$

all compatible with compositions

The fundamental class (Déglise-J.-Khan)

- We say that a morphism of schemes f : X → Y is local complete intersection (lci) if it factors as a regular closed immersion followed by a smooth morphism
- To such a morphism is associated a virtual tangent bundle τ_f ∈ K₀(X), which agrees with the class of the cotangent complex of f
- 3 equivalent formulations:
 - purity transformation $f^* \otimes \text{Th}(\tau_f) \to f^!$
 - fundamental class $\eta_f \in \mathbb{E}_0(X/Y, \tau_f)$
 - Gysin morphisms $\mathbb{E}_n(Y/S, v) \to \mathbb{E}_n(X/S, \tau_f + f^*v)$

all compatible with compositions

• Morally, these operations contain the information of "intersecting cycles over X with Y"

The fundamental class (Déglise-J.-Khan)

- We say that a morphism of schemes f : X → Y is local complete intersection (lci) if it factors as a regular closed immersion followed by a smooth morphism
- To such a morphism is associated a virtual tangent bundle τ_f ∈ K₀(X), which agrees with the class of the cotangent complex of f
- 3 equivalent formulations:
 - purity transformation $f^* \otimes \text{Th}(\tau_f) \to f^!$
 - fundamental class $\eta_f \in \mathbb{E}_0(X/Y, \tau_f)$
 - Gysin morphisms $\mathbb{E}_n(Y/S, v) \to \mathbb{E}_n(X/S, \tau_f + f^*v)$

all compatible with compositions

- Morally, these operations contain the information of "intersecting cycles over X with Y"
- The construction uses the deformation to the normal cone

Euler class and excess intersection formula

 The Euler class of a vector bundle V → X is the map e(V) : 1_X → Th(V) induced by the zero section seen as a monomorphism of vector bundles

Euler class and excess intersection formula

- The Euler class of a vector bundle V → X is the map e(V) : 1_X → Th(V) induced by the zero section seen as a monomorphism of vector bundles
- Excess intersection formula: for a Cartesian square

$$\begin{array}{c} Y \xrightarrow{q} X \\ g \downarrow & \Delta & \downarrow f \\ T \xrightarrow{p} S \end{array}$$

where p and q are lci, we have $\Delta^* \eta_p = \eta_q \cdot e(\xi)$, where ξ is the *excess bundle*

Euler class and excess intersection formula

- The Euler class of a vector bundle V → X is the map e(V) : 1_X → Th(V) induced by the zero section seen as a monomorphism of vector bundles
- Excess intersection formula: for a Cartesian square

$$\begin{array}{c} Y \xrightarrow{q} X \\ g \downarrow & \Delta & \downarrow f \\ T \xrightarrow{p} S \end{array}$$

where p and q are lci, we have $\Delta^* \eta_p = \eta_q \cdot e(\xi)$, where ξ is the *excess bundle*

• Motivic Gauss-Bonnet formula (Levine, Déglise-J.-Khan) For $p: X \to S$ a smooth and proper morphism

$$\chi(X/S) = p_* e(T_p)$$

where $\chi(X/S)$ is the categorical Euler characteristic

The absolute purity property

 We say that an absolute spectrum E satisfies absolute purity if for any closed immersion *i* : *Z* → *X* between regular schemes, the purity transformation E_Z ⊗ Th(τ_f) → f[!]E_X is an isomorphism

The absolute purity property

- We say that an absolute spectrum \mathbb{E} satisfies **absolute purity** if for any closed immersion $i : Z \to X$ between regular schemes, the purity transformation $\mathbb{E}_Z \otimes \text{Th}(\tau_f) \to f^! \mathbb{E}_X$ is an isomorphism
- Example: the algebraic *K*-theory spectrum **KGL** satisfies absolute purity because *K*-theory satisfies localization property (also called dévissage, due to Quillen)

$$K(Z) \to K(X) \to K(X-Z)$$

The absolute purity property

- We say that an absolute spectrum \mathbb{E} satisfies **absolute purity** if for any closed immersion $i : Z \to X$ between regular schemes, the purity transformation $\mathbb{E}_Z \otimes \text{Th}(\tau_f) \to f^! \mathbb{E}_X$ is an isomorphism
- Example: the algebraic *K*-theory spectrum **KGL** satisfies absolute purity because *K*-theory satisfies localization property (also called dévissage, due to Quillen)

$$K(Z) \to K(X) \to K(X-Z)$$

 From this property Cisinski-Déglise deduce that the rational motivic Eilenberg-Mac Lane spectrum HQ also satisfies absolute purity, mainly because HQ is a direct summand of KGLQ by the Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch theorem

The Main result

Theorem (Déglise-Fasel-J.-Khan): The rational sphere spectrum $\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{O}}$ satisfies absolute purity.

The Main result

```
Theorem (Déglise-Fasel-J.-Khan):
```

The rational sphere spectrum $\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ satisfies absolute purity.

First reductions:

• The "switching factors" endomorphism of $\mathbb{P}^1 \wedge \mathbb{P}^1$ induces a decomposition of the sphere spectrum $\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ into the direct sum of the plus-part $\mathbb{1}_{+,\mathbb{Q}}$ and the minus-part $\mathbb{1}_{-,\mathbb{Q}}$ (Morel)

The Main result

```
Theorem (Déglise-Fasel-J.-Khan):
```

The rational sphere spectrum $\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ satisfies absolute purity.

First reductions:

- The "switching factors" endomorphism of $\mathbb{P}^1 \wedge \mathbb{P}^1$ induces a decomposition of the sphere spectrum $\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ into the direct sum of the plus-part $\mathbb{1}_{+,\mathbb{Q}}$ and the minus-part $\mathbb{1}_{-,\mathbb{Q}}$ (Morel)
- \bullet The +-part $\mathbb{1}_{+,\mathbb{Q}}$ agrees with $\textbf{H}\mathbb{Q}$ (Cisinski-Déglise)

The Main result

```
Theorem (Déglise-Fasel-J.-Khan):
```

The rational sphere spectrum $\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ satisfies absolute purity.

First reductions:

- The "switching factors" endomorphism of $\mathbb{P}^1 \wedge \mathbb{P}^1$ induces a decomposition of the sphere spectrum $\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ into the direct sum of the plus-part $\mathbb{1}_{+,\mathbb{Q}}$ and the minus-part $\mathbb{1}_{-,\mathbb{Q}}$ (Morel)
- \bullet The +-part $\mathbb{1}_{+,\mathbb{Q}}$ agrees with $\textbf{H}\mathbb{Q}$ (Cisinski-Déglise)
- Therefore it suffices to show that the minus part satisfies aboslute purity

The first proof

• By a devissage theorem of Schlichting and an argument similar to the case of KGL, one can show that the Hermitian K-theory spectrum KQ satisfies aboslute purity

The first proof

- By a devissage theorem of Schlichting and an argument similar to the case of KGL, one can show that the Hermitian K-theory spectrum KQ satisfies aboslute purity
- Similar to the Chern character, the **Borel character** (defined by Déglise-Fasel) induces a decomposition of $KQ_{\mathbb{Q}}$, where $\mathbb{1}_{-,\mathbb{Q}}$ can be identified as a direct summand

The first proof

- By a devissage theorem of Schlichting and an argument similar to the case of KGL, one can show that the Hermitian K-theory spectrum KQ satisfies aboslute purity
- Similar to the Chern character, the **Borel character** (defined by Déglise-Fasel) induces a decomposition of $KQ_{\mathbb{Q}}$, where $\mathbb{1}_{-,\mathbb{Q}}$ can be identified as a direct summand
- This proves the absolute purity of $\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ when 2 is invertible on the base scheme, since **KQ** is only well-defined in this case

The second proof

• For every scheme X, denote by $u_X : X_{\mathbb{Q}} = X \times_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \to X$

- For every scheme X, denote by $\nu_X : X_{\mathbb{Q}} = X \times_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \to X$
- Key lemma: the functor ν^{*}_X : SH(X_Q)_{-,Q} → SH(X)_{-,Q} is an equivalence of categories

- For every scheme X, denote by $\nu_X : X_{\mathbb{Q}} = X \times_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \to X$
- Key lemma: the functor ν^{*}_X : SH(X_Q)_{-,Q} → SH(X)_{-,Q} is an equivalence of categories
- We may assume that X is the spectrum of a field, because the family of functors i^l_x for i_x : Spec(k(x)) → X for all points x of X is jointly conservative, i.e. reflects isomorphisms

- For every scheme X, denote by $u_X : X_{\mathbb{Q}} = X \times_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \to X$
- Key lemma: the functor ν^{*}_X : SH(X_Q)_{-,Q} → SH(X)_{-,Q} is an equivalence of categories
- We may assume that X is the spectrum of a field, because the family of functors i[!]_x for i_x : Spec(k(x)) → X for all points x of X is jointly conservative, i.e. reflects isomorphisms
- For X a field of characteristic zero, ν_X is automatically an isomorphism; for X a field of positive characteristic,
 SH(X)_{-,Q} vanishes by a theorem of Morel/Bachmann

- For every scheme X, denote by $u_X : X_{\mathbb{Q}} = X \times_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \to X$
- Key lemma: the functor ν^{*}_X : SH(X_Q)_{-,Q} → SH(X)_{-,Q} is an equivalence of categories
- We may assume that X is the spectrum of a field, because the family of functors i[!]_x for i_x : Spec(k(x)) → X for all points x of X is jointly conservative, i.e. reflects isomorphisms
- For X a field of characteristic zero, ν_X is automatically an isomorphism; for X a field of positive characteristic,
 SH(X)_{-,Q} vanishes by a theorem of Morel/Bachmann
- The key lemma then reduces the absolute purity of 1_{−,Q} in mixed characteristic to the case of Q-schemes, which can be proved using Popescu's theorem: a closed immersion of affine regular schemes over a perfect field is a limit of closed immersions of smooth schemes

- Our method can be used to deduce the following new results in mixed characteristic:
 - The six functors preserve constructible objects in the rational stable motivic homotopy category $\textbf{SH}(\cdot,\mathbb{Q})$
 - The Grothendieck-Verdier duality holds for $\textbf{SH}(\cdot,\mathbb{Q})$
 - The homotopy *t*-structure on $\mathsf{SH}(\cdot,\mathbb{Q})$ behaves as expected

- Our method can be used to deduce the following new results in mixed characteristic:
 - The six functors preserve constructible objects in the rational stable motivic homotopy category $\textbf{SH}(\cdot,\mathbb{Q})$
 - The Grothendieck-Verdier duality holds for $\textbf{SH}(\cdot,\mathbb{Q})$
 - The homotopy *t*-structure on $\mathsf{SH}(\cdot,\mathbb{Q})$ behaves as expected
- The rational stable motivic homotopy category has a (unique) *SL*-orientation, that is, the Thom space of a vector bundle only depends on its rank and its determinant

- Our method can be used to deduce the following new results in mixed characteristic:
 - The six functors preserve constructible objects in the rational stable motivic homotopy category $\textbf{SH}(\cdot,\mathbb{Q})$
 - The Grothendieck-Verdier duality holds for $\textbf{SH}(\cdot,\mathbb{Q})$
 - The homotopy *t*-structure on $\mathsf{SH}(\cdot,\mathbb{Q})$ behaves as expected
- The rational stable motivic homotopy category has a (unique) *SL*-orientation, that is, the Thom space of a vector bundle only depends on its rank and its determinant
- The rational bivariant groups $H_0^{\mathbb{A}^1}(X/S, v)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ agree with the rational Chow-Witt groups, and can be computed by the Gersten complex associated to Milnor-Witt K-theory

- Our method can be used to deduce the following new results in mixed characteristic:
 - The six functors preserve constructible objects in the rational stable motivic homotopy category $\textbf{SH}(\cdot,\mathbb{Q})$
 - The Grothendieck-Verdier duality holds for $\textbf{SH}(\cdot,\mathbb{Q})$
 - The homotopy t-structure on $\mathsf{SH}(\cdot,\mathbb{Q})$ behaves as expected
- The rational stable motivic homotopy category has a (unique) *SL*-orientation, that is, the Thom space of a vector bundle only depends on its rank and its determinant
- The rational bivariant groups $H_0^{\mathbb{A}^1}(X/S, v)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ agree with the rational Chow-Witt groups, and can be computed by the Gersten complex associated to Milnor-Witt K-theory
- Related work: absolute purity of the sphere spectrum over a Dedekind domain (Frankland-Nguyen-Spitzweck, work in progress)

Thank you!

Fangzhou Jin joint work with F. Déglise, J. Fasel and A. Khan Absolute purity in motivic homotopy theory